

Proposal Title :	Planning Proposal to amend	Planning Proposal to amend Schedule 5 and the Heritage Maps		
Proposal Summary :	This planning proposal is to a	mend Pittwater LEP 2014 by	y :	
	 Inserting additional items of Removing items that have b Updating the information (in heritage significance where n Updating the Heritage Map s 	een demolished or merged v cluding details and descript ecessary; and	with another item; and tions) of current items of local	
PP Number :	PP_2015_PITTW_001_00	Dop File No :	15/07217	
oposal Details				
Date Planning Proposal Received :	29-Apr-2015	LGA covered	Pittwater	
Region :	Metro(CBD)	RPA :	Pittwater Council	
State Electorate :	PITTWATER	Section of the Act :	55 - Planning Proposal	
LEP Type :	Housekeeping			
ocation Details				
Street :				
Suburb :	City :		Postcode :	
	e planning proposal is to amend twater local government area.	, introduce and delete herita	ge items across the entire	
DoP Planning Offi	cer Contact Details			
Contact Name :	James Sellwood			
Contact Number :	0285754122			
Contact Email :	james.sellwood@planning.nsw	.gov.au		
RPA Contact Deta	ils	x(
Contact Name :	Kelly Wilkinson			
Contact Number :	0299701283			
Contact Email :	kelly_wilkinson@pittwater.nsw	.gov.au		
DoP Project Mana	ger Contact Details			
-	-			
Contact Name :	Tim Archer			
Contact Name : Contact Number :	Tim Archer 0285754120			

Planning Proposal to amend Schedule 5 and the Heritage Maps

Land Release Data

Land Release Data			
Growth Centre :	N/A	Release Area Name :	N/A
Regional / Sub Regional Strategy :	Metro North East subregion	Consistent with Strategy	Yes
MDP Number :		Date of Release :	
Area of Release (Ha) :	0.00	Type of Release (eg Residential / Employment land) :	N/A
No. of Lots :	0	No. of Dwellings (where relevant) :	0
Gross Floor Area	0	No of Jobs Created :	0
The NSW Government Lobbyists Code of Conduct has been complied with :	Yes		
If No, comment :			
Have there been meetings or communications with registered lobbyists?	Νο		
If Yes, comment :	The Department of Planning and I communication and meetings wit (East) has not met any lobbyists i advised of any meetings between proposal.	h lobbyists has been complie n relation to this proposal, ne	ed with. Metropolitan Region or has the Director been
Supporting notes			
Internal Supporting Notes :	This planning proposal is informe Review' (2015). The review provid Pittwater, including an updated Ti	es an updated and contempo	orary Heritage Study for
	The review reassessed previous h namely:	neritage studies of the Pittwa	ter local government area,
	 Barrenjoey Peninsula and Pittwa Ingleside/Warriewood Urban Rel Warringah Heritage Study (This Mona Vale Road that was not incl Study). 	ease Area Heritage Study; ar study applies to the area of F	Pittwater generally south of
	The review recommended;		
	 The listing of an additional 49 ite updating the list of existing item 		
	The final planning proposal recon Pittwater Local Environmental Pla		ments to Schedule 5 of
	 Insert 42 new heritage items into Insert 7 new archaeological sites Remove 10 heritage or archaeological Amend the information in Schedand Update the Heritage Map series 	s into Part 3 of Schedule 5; ogical items from Schedule 5 lule 5 in relation to 38 heritag	e or archaeological items;

Planning Proposal to amend Schedule 5 and the Heritage Maps

2 0 etie Evte

External Supporting Notes :	
Adequacy Assessme	ent
Statement of the o	bjectives - s55(2)(a)
Is a statement of the	objectives provided? Yes
Comment :	The objective of the planning proposal is to amend Pittwater Local Environmental Plan 2014 to:
	 Insert additional items of local heritage significance; Remove items that have been demolished or merged with another item; and Update information (including details and descriptions) of current items of local heritage significance where necessary; and Update the Heritage Map series to reflect these changes.
Explanation of pro	ovisions provided - s55(2)(b)
Is an explanation of p	provisions provided? Yes
Comment :	The explanation of provision can be summarised as follows:
	 Inserting 42 new heritage items into Part 1 of Schedule 5; Inserting 7 new archaeological sites into Part 3 of Schedule 5; Removing 10 heritage or archaeological items from Schedule 5; Amending the information in Schedule 5 in relation to 38 heritage or archaeological items; and Amend the Heritage Map series to reflect these changes.
Justification - s55	(2)(c)
a) Has Council's strat	egy been agreed to by the Director General? No
b) S.117 directions id	entified by RPA :
* May need the Direc	tor General's agreement
Is the Director Ger	neral's agreement required? No
c) Consistent with Sta	andard Instrument (LEPs) Order 2006 : Yes
d) Which SEPPs have	e the RPA identified? N/A
e) List any other matters that need to be considered :	
Have inconsistencies	with items a), b) and d) being adequately justified? Yes
If No, explain :	There are no inconsistencies with s117 Directions or State Environmental Planning Policies.
Mapping Provided	- s55(2)(d)
Is mapping provided?	Yes
Comment :	Sufficiently clear Site Identification Maps and draft Heritage Maps are provided.
Community consu	ltation - s55(2)(e)
Has community const	ultation been proposed? Yes
Comment :	Council has proposed exhibiting the planning proposal for 28 days, the following

	notification will be undertaken:
	 Notification in writing to affected land owners, registered Pittwater community groups and Chambers of Commerce, and relevant public authorities and State agencies at the commencement of the public exhibition; Notification in the Manly Daily at the commencement of the public exhibition; Displays of the relevant documentation at Council's Customer Service Centres and libraries for the duration of the public exhibition; Relevant documentation on Council's website for the duration of the public exhibition period; and Council staff will be available to respond to any enquiries. Council also previously consulted extensively on the content of the 'Pittwater Community Based Heritage Study Review' (2015). PROJECT TIMELINE Council has provided an indicative project timeline with a completion date of October 2015. The Department considers a 9 month project timeline for completion is adequate.
	General's requirements
-	I Director General's requirements? No
If Yes, reasons :	There are no additional Secretary's Requirements (formerly Director General's Requirements).
Overall adequacy of	the proposal
Does the proposal mee	t the adequacy criteria? Yes
If No, comment :	The proposal meets adequacy criteria.
posal Assessment	
Principal LEP:	
Due Date : May 2014 Comments in relation to Principal LEP :	The Pittwater Local Environmental Plan 2014 was notified on 30 May and commenced on 27 June 2014.
ssessment Criteria	à
Need for planning proposal :	The planning proposal is the result of the 'Pittwater Community Based Heritage Study Review' (2015). The review provides an updated and contemporary Heritage Study for Pittwater, including an updated Thematic History and list of heritage items.
	Informed by this study the planning proposal recommends the following amendments:
	 Inserting 42 new heritage items into Part 1 of Schedule 5; Inserting 7 new archaeological sites into Part 3 of Schedule 5;
	 Removing 10 heritage or archaeological items from Schedule 5; Amending the information in Schedule 5 in relation to 38 heritage or archaeological
	 • Updating the Heritage Map series to reflect the above changes.
	A planning proposal is deemed to be an appropriate mechanism for delivering the outcomes of the report.

Planning Proposal to amend Schedule 5 and the Heritage Maps

Environmental social
economic impacts :Council's Planning Proposal notes the following with regard to environmental, economic
and social effects:

ENVIRONMENTAL EFFECTS

The planning proposal is unlikely to adversely affect critical habitat or threatened species, populations or ecological communities.

ECONOMIC EFFECTS

During consultation for the 'Pittwater Community Based Heritage Study Review', owners of some items recommended to be listed raised concerns regarding potential increased costs associated with works to their properties following listing as a heritage item.

Council notes that if a Development Application is required for proposed works to an item of local heritage significance, heritage controls are triggered under the Pittwater LEP 2014 and the Pittwater 21 Development Control Plan (DCP), which require the consideration of heritage matters.

Subsequently, the consent authority may require a heritage management document to be prepared (e.g. a Heritage Impact Statement or a Conservation Management Plan). The requirement for a heritage management document imposes an additional cost on land owners of existing and recommended nominated items of local heritage significance, however a heritage management document is usually necessary for the Assessment Officer, in conjunction with Council's Heritage Advisor, to make a recommendation as to whether the proposed works will have an acceptable impact on the heritage significance of the item.

It is noted that under the Pittwater LEP 2014, consent is not required for certain works proposed on land that contains an item of local heritage significance. Further, under the State Environmental Planning Policy (Exempt and Complying Development Codes) 2008, exempt development may be undertaken to an item of local heritage significance unless expressly stated.

Submissions received from owners of some items recommended to be listed, raise concern regarding potential reduction in property value.

In their review of submissions City Plan Heritage quote the NSW Heritage Council publication Heritage listing explained - What it means for you, which states that "studies show listing has no effect on property value in most cases, and sometimes improves resale value. Listed residences with well maintained heritage features have been found to attract a price premium compared to equivalent non-listed places in independent studies. Period features and other heritage attributes often feature prominently in property advertisements because of this appeal".

However, it is recognised that the NSW Heritage Council publication is not definitive and that not all items may be able to realise an economic advantage.

SOCIAL EFFECTS

Some submissions from the consultation period on the 'Pittwater Community Based Heritage Study Review' raised concerns about privacy, and the experience of living in a property listed on a public register of heritage items, which draws attention to a property as a potential place of interest.

Council considers that, although heritage listing of a property may draw the attention of some select groups (e.g. local historians, students etc.) it is considered that in Pittwater this is unlikely to be a significant burden.

Additionally the listing of the property as an item of heritage significance does not change or extinguish rights afforded to all privately owned land. On balance the identification of new items of local heritage significance is generally considered to offer social benefits by facilitating the conservation of items having significance for the local community.

Assessment Process

Proposal type :	Routine		Community Consultation Period :	28 Days
Timeframe to make LEP :	9 months		Delegation :	RPA
Public Authority Consultation - 56(2) (d) :	Office of Environmen	t and Heri	tage	
Is Public Hearing by the	PAC required?	No		
(2)(a) Should the matter	r proceed ?	Yes		
If no, provide reasons :				
Resubmission - s56(2)(l	b) : No			
If Yes, reasons :				
ldentify any additional s	tudies, if required.			
If Other, provide reason	S			
Identify any internal con	sultations, if required :			
No internal consultatio	n required			
Is the provision and fund	ding of state infrastructur	e relevant	to this plan? No	
If Yes, reasons :				

Documents

Document File Name	DocumentType Name	Is Public
1 - Cover Letter.pdf	Proposal Covering Letter	Yes
2 - Council Report and Resolution.pdf	Proposal	Yes
3 - Planning Proposal.pdf	Proposal	Yes
4 - Site Identification Maps.pdf	Map	Yes
5 - Draft Heritage Maps.pdf	Мар	Yes
6 - Heritage Study Review.pdf	Study	Yes

Planning Team Recommendation

Preparation of the planning proposal supported at this stage : Recommended with Conditions

S.117 directions:

Additional Information in It is recommended that the planning proposal proceed, subject to the following conditions:

nning Proposal to a	mend Schedule 5 and the Heritage Maps
	1. Community consultation is required under sections 56(2)(c) and 57 of the Act as follows:
	(a) the planning proposal must be made publicly available for a minimum of 28 days; and
	(b) the relevant planning authority must comply with the notice requirements for public exhibition of planning proposals and the specifications for material that must be made publicly available along with planning proposals as identified in section 5.5.2 of A Guide to Preparing LEPs (Department of Planning and Environment 2013).
	2. Consultation is required under section 56(2)(d) of the Act with the Office of
	Environment and Heritage (the Office) prior to exhibition and the outcome of this consultation is to be included as part of the planning proposal when exhibited.
	The Office is to be provided with a copy of the planning proposal and any relevant supporting material, and given at least 21 days to comment on the proposal. The planning proposal should respond to this consultation.
	If comment from the Office is not received within 21 days, Council is to exhibit the planning proposal and seek input from the Office during the consultation period.
	3. A public hearing is not required to be held into the matter by any person or body under section 56(2)(e) of the Act. This does not discharge Council from any obligation it may otherwise have to conduct a public hearing (for example, in response to a submission or if reclassifying land).
	4. The timeframe for completing the Local Environmental Plan is to be 9 months from the week following the date of the Gateway determination.
Supporting Reasons :	The planning proposal is recommended for approval as it provides heritage protection for a significant number of new items, whilst removing items that have been demolished or merged with other items.
	The planning proposal is considered appropriate for delegation to Council.
Signature:	and in the second secon
olghatare.	I will of the
Printed Name:	W. WILliamson Date: 18/5/2015